arXiv:1301.7565v1 [math.CO] 31 Jan 2013

A Note On Edge Connectivity and Parity Factor

Hongliang Lu[*]
School of Mathematics and Statistic
Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, PR China

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate some parity factors by using Lovász's (g, f)-parity theorem. Let $m > 0$ be an integer. Firstly, we obtain a sufficient and necessary condition for some graphs to have a parity factor with restricted minimum degree. Secondly, we obtain some sufficient conditions for a graph to have a parity factor with minimum degree m in term of edge connectivity.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The number of vertices of a graph G is called the *order* of G. For a vertex v of graph G, the number of edges of G incident with v is called the *degree* of v in G and is denoted by $d_G(v)$. For two subsets $S, T \subseteq V(G)$, let $e_G(S, T)$ denote the number of edges of G joining S to T. The *edge cut* of G is a subsets of edges whose total removal renders the graph disconnected and the *edge-connectivity* is the size of a smallest edge cut.

An *even (odd) factor* of G is a spanning subgraph of G in which every vertex has even (odd, resp.) positive degree. Let $g, f : V \to Z^+$ be two integer-valued function such that $g(v) \leq f(v)$ and $g(v) \equiv f(v) \pmod 2$ for every $v \in V$. Then a spanning subgraph F of G is called a (g, f)-parity-factor, if $g(v) \leq d_F(v) \leq f(v)$ and $d_F(v) \equiv f(v) \pmod 2$ for all $v \in V$. By the definitions, it is easy to see that an even (or odd) factor is also a special parity factor.

Petersen obtained a well-known result on the existence of a 2-factor.

Theorem 1.1 (Petersen, [4]) *Every bridgeless cubic graph has a 2-factor.*

Fleischner extended Theorem 1.1 to the existence of an even factor.

---

[*] Corresponding email: luhongliang215@sina.com

Theorem 1.2 (Fleischner, [1]; Lovász, [3]) *If G is a bridgeless graph with $\delta(G) \geq 3$, then G has an even factor.*

For (g, f)-parity factor, Lovász obtained a sufficient and necessary condition.

Theorem 1.3 (Lovász [2]) *Let G be a graph and let $g, f : V(G) \to N$ such that $g \leq f$ and $g(v) \equiv f(v) \pmod 2$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Then G contains a (g, f)-parity factor if and only if for any two disjoint subsets S and T,*

$$\eta(S,T) = g(T) - \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(S) + \tau(S,T) > 0,$$

where $\tau(S,T)$ denotes the number of components C, called g-odd components of $G - (S \cup T)$ such that $e_G(V(C), T) + f(V(C)) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$.

In this paper, we shall study some parity factors by using Lovász's (g, f)-parity theorem. Firstly, for parity factor with minimum degree restricted, we obtain a simple sufficient and necessary condition. Secondly, we generalize Theorem 1.2 and obtain some sufficient conditions for a graph to have an even (or odd) factor in term of edge connectivity.

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1 *Let G be a graph and $g : V(G) \to N$ be an integer-valued function. Then G contains a factor F such that $d_F(v) \geq g(v)$ and $d_F(v) \equiv g(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$ if and only if for any subset $T \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$g(T) - \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) + \tau(T) \leq 0,$$

where $\tau(T)$ denotes the number of components C, called g-odd components of $G - T$ such that $e_G(V(C), T) + g(V(C)) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$.

Proof. Firstly, we prove necessity. Suppose that necessity does not hold. Then there exists $T \subseteq V(G)$ such that

$$g(T) - \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) + \tau(T) > 0,$$

where $\tau(T)$ denotes the number of components C, called g-odd components of $G - T$ such that $e_G(V(C), T) + g(V(C)) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Let F be a parity factor of G such that $d_F(v) \equiv$

$g(v) \pmod 2$ and $d_F(v) \geq g(v)$. By parity, F misses at least an edge from every g-odd component to T. Then we have

$$\sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) - \tau(T) \geq \sum_{x \in T} d_F(x) \geq g(T),$$

a contradiction.

Now we prove the sufficiency. Let $f : V(G) \to N$ is an integer-valued function such that $f(v) \geq \Delta(G) + 1$ and $f(v) \equiv g(v) \pmod 2$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Suppose that the sufficiency does not hold. Then G contains no (g, f)-parity factor. By Theorem 1.3, there exists two disjoint subsets S and T such that

$$g(T) - \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(S) + \tau(S, T) > 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\tau(S, T)$ denotes the number of components C, called g-odd components of $G-(S \cup T)$ such that $e_G(V(C), T) + g(V(C)) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. We choose S, T such that S is minimal. Now we claim that $S = \emptyset$. Otherwise, suppose that $S \neq \emptyset$. Let $v \in S$ and $S' = S - v$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(S', T) &= g(T) - \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S'}(x) - f(S') + \tau(S', T) \\
&\geq g(T) - \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) + e_G(v, T) - f(S) + f(v) + \tau(S, T) - (d_G(v) - e_G(v, T) + 1) \\
&= g(T) - \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(S) + \tau(S, T) + f(v) + 2e_G(v, T) - d_G(v) - 1, \\
&\geq g(T) - \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(S) + \tau(S, T) > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

contradicting to the minimality of S.

By (1), then the result is followed. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.2 *Let G be a graph and $m > 0$ be even. Then G contains an even factor F such that $d_F(v) \geq m$ if and only if for any subset T,*

$$m|T| - \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) + \tau(T) \leq 0,$$

where $\tau(T)$ denotes the number of components C, called m-odd components of $G - T$ such that $e_G(V(C), T) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$.

Corollary 2.3 *Let G be a graph and $m > 0$ be odd. Then G contains an odd factor F such that $d_F(v) \geq m$ if and only if for any subset T,*

$$m|T| - \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) + \tau(T) \leq 0,$$

where $\tau(T)$ denotes the number of components C, called m-odd components of $G-T$ such that $e_G(V(C),T)+|V(C)| \equiv 1 \pmod 2$.

Theorem 2.4 *Let m be even. Let G be an m-edge-connected graph with minimum degree $m+1$. Then G contains an even factor F such that $d_F(v) \geq m$.*

Proof. Suppose that the result does not hold. By Corollary 2.2, there exists $T \subseteq V(G)$ such that

$$m|T| - \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) + \tau(T) > 0, \tag{2}$$

where $\tau(T)$ denotes the number of components C, called m-odd components of $G-T$ such that $e_G(V(C),T) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_\tau$ denote these m-odd components of $G-T$. We write $W = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_\tau$. Now we discuss two cases.

Case 1. $\tau(T) \leq |T|$.

Since $\delta(G) \geq m+1$, then we have

$$\sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) \geq (m+1)|T|.$$

Hence

$$m|T| - \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) + \tau(T) \leq \tau(T) - |T| \leq 0, \tag{3}$$

contradicting to inequality (2).

Case 2. $\tau(T) > |T|$.

Since G is m-edge connected, then we have $e_G(V(C),T) \geq m$. Note that $e_G(V(C),T) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ and m is even. Hence $e_G(V(C),T) \geq m+1$. So we have

$$\sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) \geq e_G(T, V(W)) \geq (m+1)\tau(T), \tag{4}$$

which implies

$$m|T| - \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) + \tau(T) \leq m|T| - (m+1)\tau(T) + \tau(T) \leq 0, \tag{5}$$

a contradiction again. This completes this proof. □

Remark 1: The bound of Theorem 2.4 is tight. Let K_{2m} denote the complete graph with order $2m$. Take $m+1$ copies of K_{2m} and add $m+1$ new vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_{m+1}$. We write

$T = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{m+1}\}$. Choose one vertex from each copy of K_{2m} and connect the vertex to every vertex of set T. This results an $(m+1)$-edge-connected graph. Since

$$(m+2)|T| - \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) + \tau(T) = (m+2)|T| - (m+1)\tau(T) + \tau(T) > 0, \tag{6}$$

by Corollary 2.2, then G contains no an even factor F such that $d_F(v) \geq m+2$.

Theorem 2.5 *Let m be odd. Let G be an $(m+1)$-edge-connected graph. Then G contains an odd factor F such that $d_F(v) \geq m$.*

Proof. Suppose that the result does not hold. By Corollary 2.3, there exists a subset T such that

$$m|T| - \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) + \tau(T) > 0, \tag{7}$$

where $\tau(T)$ denotes the number of components C, called m-odd components of $G - T$ such that $e_G(V(C), T) + |V(C)| \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. We write $\tau = \tau(T)$. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_\tau$ denote these m-odd components of $G - T$ and let $W = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_\tau$.

Since G is $m+1$-edge-connected, then we have

$$\sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) \geq \max\{(m+1)|T|, (m+1)\tau\},$$

which implies

$$m|T| - \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) + \tau \leq 0 \tag{8}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

By the discussion in Remark 1, it is easy to show that the bound of Theorem 2.5 is also tight.

References

[1] H. Fleischner, Spanning Eulerian subgraphs, the Splitting Lemma, and Petersen's Theorem, *Discrete Math.*, **101** (1992), 33–37.

[2] L. Lovász, The factorization of graphs, II, *Acta Math. Sci. Hungar.*, **23** (1972), 223-246.

[3] L. Lovász, Combinatorial Problems and Exercises, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1979).

[4] J. Petersen, Die Theorie der regulăen Graphen, *Acta Math.*, **15** (1891), 193–220.